

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,179	07/22/2003	James B. Watson	0275A-000360/COD	6854
27572 75	590 08/23/2005	EXAMINER		
HARNESS, D	DICKEY & PIERCE,	TSO, EDWARD H		
P.O. BOX 828				
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/624,179	WATSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Edward H. Tso	2838			
The MAILING DA	TE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c				
Period for Reply	.,					
THE MAILING DATE O - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set o	F THIS COMMUNICATION. itable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ed above, the maximum statutory period wir extended period for reply will, by statute, e later than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(3) 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FIN	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above of 5) ☐ Claim(s) is 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) is	re rejected.		*			
Application Papers						
9) The specification i	s objected to by the Examine	r.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/624,179

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS filed 7/22/2003 has been considered and placed of record. An initialed copy is attached herewith.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The disclosure should be carefully reviewed to ensure that any and all grammatical, idiomatic, and spelling or other minor errors are corrected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kabatnik et al. (US 5,671,815). The reference discloses a power tool and its accompanied battery pack having, *inter alia*, a housing with a guided groove and rails 59, 60 in

Application/Control Number: 10/624,179 Page 3

Art Unit: 2838

parallel to the longitudinal axis of the battery 11 or 38. The terminal block 51 has blades 55, 63 cooperating with each other. See figures 3-5.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,653,815. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to provide a key terminal so that only the correct battery can be used in the appropriate power tool. Furthermore claim 13 would have encompassed the claims of the patent since the claim and its dependents are somewhat broader that the patented claim set.

Art Unit: 2838

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mike Sherry, can be reached on 571 272 2084.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571 272 2800, Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm, EST.

By:

EDWARD H TSO Primary Examiner 571 272 2087

Page 4